

federal interpretation of the item in question.

[53 FR 17037, May 13, 1988, as amended at 59 FR 21941, Apr. 28, 1994; 60 FR 21451, May 2, 1995]

**§§ 52.1226—52.1229 [Reserved]**

**§ 52.1230 Control strategy and rules: Particulates.**

(a) *Part D.* (1) *Approval.* The State of Minnesota has satisfied the requirements of sections 189(a)(1)(B) and 189(a)(1)(C) and paragraphs 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, and 9 of section 172(c) for the Saint Paul and Rochester areas. The Administrator has determined pursuant to section 189(e) that secondary particulate matter formed from particulate matter precursors does not contribute significantly to exceedances of the NAAQS.

(2) *No action.* USEPA takes no action on the alternative test method provision of Rule 7005.2910.

(b) *Approval.*—On May 31, 1988, the State of Minnesota submitted a committal SIP for particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter equal to or less than 10 micrometers (PM<sub>10</sub>) for Minnesota's Group II areas. The Group II areas of concern are in Minneapolis, Hennepin County; Duluth and Iron Range, St. Louis County; Iron Range, Itasca County; Two Harbors, Lake County; and St. Cloud, Stearns County. The committal SIP contains all the requirements identified in the July 1, 1987, promulgation of the SIP requirements for PM<sub>10</sub> at 52 FR 24681.

[47 FR 19522, May 6, 1982, as amended at 47 FR 32118, July 26, 1982; 55 FR 21022, May 22, 1990; 57 FR 46308, Oct. 8, 1992; 59 FR 7222, Feb. 15, 1994]

**§§ 52.1231—52.1232 [Reserved]**

**§ 52.1233 Operating permits.**

Emission limitations and related provisions which are established in Minnesota permits as federally enforceable conditions in accordance with Chapter 7007 rules shall be enforceable by USEPA. USEPA reserves the right to deem permit conditions not federally enforceable. Such a determination will be made according to appropriate procedures, and be based upon the permit, permit approval procedures or permit requirements which do not conform

with the permit program requirements or the requirements of USEPA's underlying regulations.

[60 FR 21451, May 2, 1995]

**§ 52.1234 Significant deterioration of air quality.**

(a) The requirements of sections 160 through 165 of the Clean Air Act are not met, since the plan does not include approvable procedures for preventing the significant deterioration of air quality.

(b) Regulations for preventing significant deterioration of air quality. The provisions of § 52.21(b) through (w) are hereby incorporated and made a part of the applicable state plan for the State of Minnesota.

(c) All applications and other information required pursuant to § 52.21 from sources located in the State of Minnesota shall be submitted to the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency, Division of Air Quality, 520 Lafayette Road, St. Paul, Minnesota 55155.

[45 FR 52741, Aug. 7, 1980, as amended at 53 FR 18985, May 26, 1988]

**§ 52.1235 [Reserved]**

**§ 52.1236 Visibility protection.**

(a) The requirements of section 169A of the Clean Air Act are not met, because the plan does not include approvable procedures for protection of visibility in mandatory Class I Federal areas.

(b) Regulation for visibility monitoring and new source review. The provisions of §§ 52.26 and 52.28 are hereby incorporated and made a part of the applicable plan for the State of Minnesota.

(c) *Long-term strategy.* The provisions of § 52.29 are hereby incorporated and made part of the applicable plan for the State of Minnesota.

[50 FR 28553, July 12, 1985, as amended at 52 FR 45137, Nov. 24, 1987]

**§ 52.1237 Control strategy: Carbon monoxide.**

(a) The base year carbon monoxide emission inventory requirement of section 187(a)(1) of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990, has been satisfied for